



RUSSIA NEEDS WARTIME PUBLIC FIGURES

Posted on August 1, 2022 by Alexander Dugin



We cannot fully understand what happened on February 24, 2022. Although everything has been going towards it for quite a long time, ever since Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin first came to power back in 1999. But now that that future has come, everyone refuses to believe it, from sheer inertia.

And here is what happened—Russia finally abandoned its strategy of integration into the world system; that is, into the global West, while retaining its sovereignty, because the two orientations proved incompatible.

The reign of Trump, who was mainly focused on domestic problems and on fighting the globalist elites ("Swamp") inside the United States, still left some hope that the West would peacefully recognize a multipolar world and agree to a form of partnership more or less acceptable to Russia without critical damage to its sovereignty. But after Biden came to power in the US, along with the globalist forces behind this elderly politician (who is in a state of obvious dementia), this possibility was destroyed.

As President Putin admitted in his address to the State Duma, the West managed to impose war on us. And by doing this, any prospects for cooperation with them have been destroyed—if not forever, then at least for a long time. The level of confrontation is so high that even Trump's return will not be able to change the situation. After all, even in his first term as president, the globalists accused him of not being active enough in escalating conflict with Russia. His hands in this matter will be even more tied if he leads the U.S. again, which is quite possible due to the complete failure of the policy of Biden and the Democratic Party, rapidly losing all its power.

Then, there is the fate of one of the most Russophobic leaders in the West, British Prime Minister Boris Johnson, who was recently kicked out of power with a bang. But not so much because of his policy toward Russia as because of his blatant idiocy and total inadequacy, which sickened even the British. Of course, the question may be put to them: why did they have to elect a crazy clown who is far beyond the limits of reality and common sense? But you can ask the same question to a lot of people. About the clown—to the Ukrainians; about the senile degenerate out of his mind—to the Americans; about the insane, arrogant narcissist - to the French; about the mafia swindler—to the Italians.

But they will probably be replaced by no better figures—by the totally incompetent women of the Erasmus generation, brought up in the new gender feminist paradigm.

Russia is clearly not on a path with the current Western elites or with those to come. Not in the short, medium or long term. Yes, at some point the acuteness of the confrontation will subside; but in principle this will not change anything. Especially since it may not subside, but may escalate.

In any case, the time has come to focus on ourselves and on the world which remained open to us after the removal of the European vector.

In my view, a new principle of sovereign efficiency comes to the fore in this situation. It will require a qualitative change in the structure of government.

Before the NWO, the criterion of effectiveness was two factors:

- Success in integrating into the global world economy, and this implied movement toward the West and the global institutions it controls;
- Success in strengthening sovereignty—primarily in the issue of political governance and military capabilities.

Now, the first criterion has been abolished; leaving the second. Note: only the second. The first one is gone. And what is to be done by that part of our power elite which threw all its energies into the first criterion and reported on it? The question is very difficult. I do not want to gloat, but everything here is quite deplorable. What yesterday you could be proud of, today you have to explain yourself for. Some couldn't stand the pressure; some didn't realize the seriousness of the change; and some took a wait-and-see attitude. Maybe things will change again.

President Putin clearly made it clear that things would not change, and that this was only the beginning. But Westerners and liberals are still hoping—but what if...

The new conditions will also affect those high-ranking officials who were in-between—in-between Westernism and sovereignty. Formally, they are in a better position, if only their priorities have shifted towards sovereignty. But here we discover the following: balancing between one and the other created comfortable conditions for them, in which it was possible to do nothing at all, citing the complexity of the task at hand. Now, after the start of the special military operation (SMO) such an excuse is no good. We will have to show real sovereign successes. And there is obviously a problem with that. If there are

no problems, that is fine. But something tells me that this category of the power elite will have problems.

At first glance, the best position is occupied by the military, the security forces and partly the Foreign Ministry. They are on the forefront of the conflict and were originally oriented only towards sovereignty. But here, too, certain difficulties may arise. Now it is necessary to be sovereignly effective to the fullest extent, so that failure, laziness and incompetence can no longer be blamed on the "fifth column." Liberals and their networks are simply outlawed, and that makes perfect sense.

But there are no more excuses for those on whom everything depends in a civilizational confrontation. The urgency of the situation and, in fact, the state of emergency, when the country is under attack by the enemy and the confrontation is transferred at times even to our territories, requires extraordinary qualities, valor, courage, resourcefulness, boldness and even heroism. And this is an entirely different score than mere preparation for a future confrontation in peaceful conditions. Conditions are no longer peaceful and require appropriate public figures—wartime public figures.

All this leads to the conclusion that the new criterion of sovereign efficiency will soon begin to be felt in all areas—first of all in public administration, in the behavior of the ruling elites, in personnel policy, in the reform of institutions which will have to be rebuilt in a new way. All this is not fatal, but cannot help but have an impact on the selection of personnel. And, of course, it will.

Alexander Dugin is a widely-known and influential Russian philosopher. His most famous work is [The Fourth Political Theory](#) (a book banned by major book retailers), in which he proposes a new polity, one that transcends liberal democracy, Marxism and fascism. He has also introduced and developed the idea of [Eurasianism](#), rooted in traditionalism. This article appears through the kind courtesy of [Geopolitica](#).

[Featured](#): "Peter I The Great," by Valentin Serov; painted in 1907.

